G. R. S. IX-5]

Previous references.

File No. 98-2

1920.

Serial Nos. 1-

(Ab.)

Later references.

Passed

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT.

Serial Nos. 1200.

Part B for December 192

SUBJECT.

Amendment to Sub Rules (C) & (F) of
in the rules relating to Legal Practi

s(2) To The Registrar, Chief C
No G. 11986 of 17.

Sanction the above

WD 2233—GPB—20,000—14-6-20

G. R. S. III-1]

1920.

7037

GENERAL AND REVENUE SECRETARIAT

(Ch)

NOTES.

File No. 98. 20.

FILE HEADING.

Amendments to Sub Rules (e) & (f) of Rules in the rules relating to Legal Practitioners.

From

The Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore

No. R.O.C. 332/20-4 Dated 14th Sep 1920 Received on 28-9-20

Diary No. 7037] Enclos. 1. Spare Copies. – [Serial No. 1

BRIEF ABSTRACT OF LETTER.

Request sanction to amend the rules relating to Legal Practitioners so as to admit of those who have passed the Bd. of Apprentice Exam.n, Madras, as

NOTES AND ORDERS.

Advocates & to recast Rule I clauses (e) & (f) for reasons stated.

Subs. with a draft Ex.o for approval

6.9.20

Mano put up the rules relating to Reg: Practitioners

D. M. 21/10

NOTES—First Sheet.

806

G. R. S. III-2.

General and Revenue Secretariat.

Ch File No. 98 of 1920.

Serial No.

A copy of the rule relating to the Mysore Local Service of Pleaders' Examination is put up. Kind attention is invited to pages 22 & 23 of the book.

Subd

5/11/20

The amended Rule - Slip is pasted in the Book put up.

Subd.

15/11/20

Kind attention is invited to clauses (c) & (f) of R. I on p. 22 of the Rules put up herewith. The Registrar has also sent a draft amendment.

Subd for orders

D. M.
29/11

To be flapped.

3357
30.11.20

III Member approved

Subd
5/12

Similar rule may also be framed in the case of Advocates who have to pass an examination in the procedure

Subd
5/12

Subd

Draft has not been approved by Advocates.

The draft was not subd for approval. I wanted to put up one after orders on the proposals. Besides the file was put up by the C. W. C. not in proper form.

D. M.
2/12

NOTE—Contn. sheet.

G. R. S. III-2.

7037

General and Revenue Secretariat.

C. File No. 98 of 191 .

Serial No.

11) The copy is indefinite & not in proper form. Please submit a revised copy briefly indicating the subject matter of the amendment.

D. M.
7/12

05
7/12

12) Sealed. The draft already put up has been suitably altered.

Secy
9/12/20.
Apm
9/12

13) Submit for approval

D. M.
13/12

3595
14-12-20

III Member

14) Approved
15/12
Sd/-

15) Please issue to-day

D. M.
16/12

To

2 The Registrar, Chief Court.

No. G. 11986 dt 17-12-20.

Sanctions the amendment to Rule I clauses (c) & (5) to the rules relating to Legal Practitioners.

IAT
1920

NOTE—Contn. sheet

Roc No 332 20-21.

Chief Court of Mysore,
Bangalore, 14th September 1920.

From

G. Sreenivasa Iyer, Esquire, B.A., M.L.,
Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore,
B a n g a l o r e.

C. S. Balasundaram Iyer, Esquire, B.A.,
Chief Secretary to the Government of
His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore
B A N G A L O R E.

Sir,

Adverting to the Rules relating to the qualification and admission of Advocates and Pleaders in Mysore, I am directed to state that any person who has taken the B.L., degree of the Madras University was required to pass in the "Law of Practice and Procedure" when the rules abolishing the subjects of civil and criminal Procedure from the subjects for the B.L. examination were introduced in 1900. A graduate of the Bombay University who has to read the procedure codes for his LL.B examination need not pass a test in the Law of Practice and Procedure and may enrol himself as an advocate. But if a person taking the B.L. degree of the Madras University passes the apprentice examination, he has to enrol himself as a High Court Vakil or pass the examination in the Law of Practice and and Procedure here before he can enrol himself as an

advocate

advocate here. The Judges , after consideration of the matter are of opinion that there is no necessity for requiring a person taking the B.L. degree of the Madras University who has passed the apprentice examination there, to pass again here in the Law of Practice and Procodure here. I am also to state that opportunity is taken to recast Rule I clauses (c) & (f) ~~Rule I~~ in a suitable form, in order to avoid certain possible constructions not intended by the Chief Court.

I am therefore to request that the Government may be pleased to accord sanction for the enclosed amendment of the Rules.

I have the honour to be,

Sir,

Your most obedient servant,

Registrar.

PKS

IN THE CHIEF COURT OF MYSORE AT BANGALORE.

N O T I F I C A T I O N.

NO. R. Dis. Dated September 1920.

The Chief Court directs that in the Rules relating to Legal Practitioners, the following additions and alterations be effected:--

Substitute the following for the existing sub rules (c) and (f) of Rule I:--

"(c) Any advocate, Vakil or Attorney of any of the High Courts of Judicature in British India.

(f) Any person who has obtained the degree of M.L., or B.L. or LLB in any Indian University and who produces (1) a certificate of respectability and of good and moral conduct and (2) where the examination for the degree of B.L., or LLB does not include an examination in the Law of Practice and Procedure, evidence to the satisfaction of the Registrar of the Chief Court of Mysore, that he has passed in those subjects, an examination which is declared to be sufficient by the Chief Court.

Note:-At present the following examinations are declared to be sufficient under this rule:--

(1) The examination in the Law of Practice and Procedure comprised in the Mysore Local Service and Pleader's Examinations.

(2) The Madras High Court Apprentices examination."

Ramaswamy

REGISTRAR.

pks

G.R.S. VI–71]

SUBJECT Sanctions the amendment to Rule I clauses (c) & (f) in the rules relating to Legal Practitioners

Diary No. of letter replied to 7037

General and Revenue Secretariat

( )

, the 191 .

Date of issue 17/12

Signed by

Section Ch.

File No. 98-20

Serial No. 2

Proceedings of the Government of His Highness the Maharaja of Mysore.

Read–

Letter no R.O.C. 332/20-21 8/14-9-20 from the Registrar, Chief Court of Mysore, requesting sanction to the following amendments to the Rules relating to Legal Practitioners:— ~~as shown in the notification annexed from~~, for reasons stated therein.

(~~Here type the amendments~~ contained in the annexure to the Registrar's letter).

Order Thereon No

G.11.986

Sanctioned.

May this be

i Printed ?

ii treated as a standing order ?

ii published in the Gazette, English Part ?

iv translated ?

v placed at the disposal of the press ?

vi published in the Gazette Kannada Part ?

13/12

For Revenue ~~Chief~~ Secretary to Govt.

To.

The Registrar, Chief Secretary
(under a Cover)

(~~Typist = please type the amended~~ notification)